UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/826,475 | 04/16/2004 | G. Glenn Henry | CNTR.2223 | 1510 |
| | 7590 03/17/200 AW GROUP, P.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| 1900 MESA AVE. | | | ZEE, EDWARD | |
| COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2135 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/17/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@HUFFMANLAW.NET

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| Intonvious Summany | 10/826,475 | HENRY ET AL. | | | |
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | EDWARD ZEE | 2135 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>EDWARD ZEE</u> . | (3) | | | | |
| (2) <u>Richard Huffman</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>04 March 2008</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,20 and 27</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Yu et al. (7,106,860)</u> . | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments to independent Claims 1, 20 and 27. The Examiner notes that proposed amendments will overcome the prior art of record cited above. The Examiner further noted minor formality issues regarding figures 1 and 2 and the specification. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | /Edward Zee/ Examiner, Art Unit 2135 Examiner's signature, if requi | red | | | |